

U.S. Department of Justice

United States Attorney Eastern District of New York

AES:DKK F.#2014R00501 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

July 13, 2017

By ECF

Honorable Kiyo A. Matsumoto United States District Judge Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201

Re: United States v. Evan Greebel

Criminal Docket No. 15-637 (KAM)

Dear Judge Matsumoto:

The government writes respectfully to request that the Court amend the Amended Criminal Pretrial Scheduling Order as to defendant Evan Greebel as described herein (the "Pretrial Order"). (See Dkt. No. 213.)

The Pretrial Order directs the parties to file supplements and revisions to their April 2017 filings by July 21 and to file remaining pretrial motions by July 28. (Id.) We believe it would make sense to extend those and related deadlines in the Pretrial Order by three weeks because the ongoing trial of Martin Shkreli is unlikely to be completed by the time that at least the first set of filings for the next trial are due, because two of the undersigned attorneys are currently engaged in that trial, and because the filings contemplated in the Pretrial Order likely will be affected by events that occur during the trial and the outcome of the trial. Specifically, we propose the following amendments to paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Pretrial Order:

	Current Due Date	Amended Date
Supplements and revisions to April 2017 filings	July 21	August 11
All remaining pretrial motions and letters about any disputes related to supplements/revisions to April 2017 filings	July 28	August 18
Opposition briefs	August 4	August 25
Reply briefs	August 8	August 29

We believe this schedule will accommodate the considerations discussed above and will not delay preparations for the October trial. We do not believe at this time that any other amendments to the schedule are required.

Counsel for Mr. Greebel has informed us that: "They take no position on the Government's request for a 3-week postponement of the pending July and August pretrial deadlines in the case. However, out of concern that there is sufficient time for the Court to consider their motions in limine, which will be significant and for which they will respectfully request oral argument in early September, they would object to a postponement longer than 2 weeks."

Respectfully submitted,

BRIDGET M. ROHDE Acting United States Attorney

By: /s/

Jacquelyn M. Kasulis Alixandra E. Smith David K. Kessler

Assistant U.S. Attorneys (718) 254-6103/6370/7202

cc: All counsel (via ECF)